

EDITORS' NOTE: WELCOME TO PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT!

Steven A. Meyerowitz and Victoria Prussen Spears

DAY ONE: THE ORIGIN STORY OF COMPUTER FORENSICS

David Kalat

THE SEC'S NEW GUIDANCE ON CYBERSECURITY: CODING BEST PRACTICES

Gregg S. Buksbaum, Skye W. Smith, Matt P. Cohen, Sunitha Malepati, and Brooke P. LoCoco

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ISSUES GUIDANCE ON BEST PRACTICES FOR CYBERSECURITY PREPAREDNESS

A.J. Kess, Yafit Cohn, and Linda M. Nyberg

FCC BECOMES LATEST AGENCY TO INCREASE CONSUMER PRIVACY AND DATA SECURITY ENFORCEMENT

Paul C. Besozzi, Monica S. Desai, and Koyulyn K. Miller

SECOND CIRCUIT RULES PATRIOT ACT DOES NOT AUTHORIZE GOVERNMENT'S BULK TELEPHONE METADATA COLLECTION PROGRAM Angelo A. Stio III and Eli Segal

ARE PRIVATE INSTITUTION SECURITY
DEPARTMENT RECORDS SUBJECT TO
DISCLOSURE UNDER PUBLIC RECORDS ACTS?

Michael J. Cooney, Christopher D. Thomas, Steven M. Richard, and Kacey Houston Walker

COOK COUNTY "PIGGYBACKS" ON STATE OF ILLINOIS AND CITY OF CHICAGO EMPLOYEE CREDIT PRIVACY LAWS

Howard L. Mocerf

IN THE COURTS

Steven A. Meyerowitz

LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY DEVELOPMENTS

Steven A. Meyerowitz

INDUSTRY NEWS

Victoria Prussen Spears

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report

VOLUME I	NUMBER 1	AUGUST/SEPTEMBER 2015
Editors' Note—Welcome to <i>Pra</i> Steven A. Meyerowitz and Victor		curity Law Report!
Day One: The Origin Story of David Kalat	Computer Forensics	4
The SEC's New Guidance on C Gregg S. Buksbaum, Skye W. Smit Brooke P. LoCoco		
Department of Justice Issues G A.J. Kess, Yafit Cohn, and Linda		es for Cybersecurity Preparedness
FCC Becomes Latest Agency to Enforcement Paul C. Besozzi, Monica S. Desai		,
Second Circuit Rules Patriot Ad Metadata Collection Program Angelo A. Stio III and Eli Segal	ct Does Not Authorize	Government's Bulk Telephone
Are Private Institution Security Records Acts? Michael J. Cooney, Christopher I Houston Walker	-	ubject to Disclosure under Public Richard, and Kacey 26
Cook County "Piggybacks" on Credit Privacy Laws Howard L. Mocerf	State of Illinois and Ci	ty of Chicago Employee
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz		33
Legislative and Regulatory Dev Steven A. Meyerowitz	elopments	37
Industry News Victoria Prussen Spears		40



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact: Deneil C. Targowski at
Customer Services Department at
Your account manager or

ISBN: 978-1-6328-3362-4 (print) ISBN: 978-1-6328-3363-1 (eBook)

ISSN: 2380-4785 (Print) ISSN: 2380-4823 (Online) Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S PRIVACY & CYBERSECURITY LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

David Kalat, *Day One: The Origin Story of Computer Forensics*, [1] Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report [4] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2015 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. $Pratt^{TM}$ Publication Editorial

Editorial Offices 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974 (908) 464-6800 201 Mission St., San Francisco, CA 94105-1831 (415) 908-3200 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW BENDER

(2015-Pub. 4939)

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

EMILIO W. CIVIDANES

Partner, Venable LLP

RICHARD COHEN

Special Counsel, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

CHRISTOPHER G. CWALINA

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

RICHARD D. HARRIS

Partner, Day Pitney LLP

DAVID C. LASHWAY

Partner, Baker & McKenzie LLP

CRAIG A. NEWMAN

Partner, Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP

ALAN CHARLES RAUL

Partner, Sidley Austin LLP

AARON P. SIMPSON

Partner, Hunton & Williams LLP

RANDI SINGER

Partner, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

JOHN P. TOMASZEWSKI

Senior Counsel, Seyfarth Shaw LLP

TODD G. VARE

Partner, Barnes & Thornburg LLP

THOMAS F. ZYCH

Partner, Thompson Hine

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report is published nine times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2015 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York 11005, smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 718.224.2258. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Privacy & Cybersecurity Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Ave., New Providence, NJ 07974.

FCC Becomes Latest Agency to Increase Consumer Privacy and Data Security Enforcement

By Paul C. Besozzi, Monica S. Desai, and Koyulyn K. Miller*

The Federal Communications Commission's Enforcement Bureau recently issued an Enforcement Advisory, providing initial guidance regarding the protection of personal and proprietary information by retail broadband internet access providers. The authors of this article discuss the Advisory and the trend toward aggressively protecting the sensitive personal information of consumers.

As attention and related widespread concerns about data security and exposure of sensitive private consumer information grows around the U.S., the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has joined the array of federal agencies using their enforcement tools to address these issues. While the Communications Act ("Act") for many years has afforded confidentiality protections for the details of a consumer's phone bill, over the last year, the FCC – led in particular by its Enforcement Bureau – has demonstrated an increased willingness to more broadly and aggressively protect "the sensitive personal information of American consumers from misappropriation, breach, and unlawful disclosure." This trend continued when the Bureau issued an Enforcement Advisory on May 20, 2015, providing initial guidance regarding the protection of personal and proprietary information by retail broadband internet access providers ("ISPs").

TRADITIONAL PRIVACY FOCUS

To be sure, under Section 222 of the Act, the FCC had always protected certain limited forms of customer information from misuse by those who collected it – primarily call detail information on individual phone bills (customer proprietary network information or "CPNI"). In 2013, the agency made clear that these protections applied equally to mobile carriers who collect such information. And most recently, last September, the agency settled a matter with Verizon for \$7.4 million involving the company's failure to notify its customers about Verizon using their CPNI for marketing. A series of more recent enforcement actions, however, reflect that the agency has expanded its focus well beyond traditional concerns about protecting CPNI.

^{*} Paul C. Besozzi and Monica S. Desai are partners, and Koyulyn K. Miller is an associate at Squire Patton Boggs. The authors may be contacted at paul.besozzi@squirepb.com, monica.desai@squirepb.com, and koyulyn.miller@squirepb.com, respectively.

FLEXING ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITY

The opening salvo in this expanded campaign occurred last October when the FCC proposed fines of \$10 million on two telecommunications carriers that left unprotected, on publicly accessible websites, "proprietary information" they had gathered, including Social Security numbers. The FCC, in a 3-2 decision, concluded that Sections 222 and 201 of the Act impose a duty on carriers to protect such information, even though it was not the CPNI that the FCC had traditionally focused on in the past.

Then, in April of this year, the FCC entered into a \$25 million settlement with AT&T to address data breaches by company employees at call centers in Mexico, Columbia, and the Philippines. Again, the enforcement action went beyond a focus on just CPNI to include the exposure of Social Security numbers and other identifying information.

To some degree these actions are not surprising. The Enforcement Bureau's senior leadership has substantial experience in data security and privacy protection, having worked in state attorneys general and other enforcement offices.

AND NOW THE INTERNET: ENFORCEMENT ADVISORY

Without question the major expansion of the commitment to privacy protection is the application of Section 222 to ISPs as newly classified telecommunications carriers. While the FCC recognized that its existing rules focused on voice type services and CPNI protections were a mismatch, the agency announced a commitment to developing a privacy regime under Section 222 for ISPs. It started that process with a workshop in April, which did not tip the agency's hand as to how it would proceed with enforcement. But on May 20 the Bureau issued an Enforcement Advisory warning ISPs that, after the effective date of the Open Internet Order on June 12, 2015, the Bureau will focus on "whether broadband providers are taking reasonable, good-faith steps to comply with Section 222." The Advisory also noted that the Bureau expects such providers to "employ effective privacy protections in line with their privacy policies and core tenets of basic privacy protections."

There are several points worth noting. First, the Advisory explains that the Bureau is available to provide informal and formal guidance on "how best to comply with Section 222," and states that although requesting guidance in the form of an advisory opinion is not required, the existence of such a request will tend to show good faith. Second, the Bureau repeated that it will only advise on "anticipated" conduct, consistent with direction in the Open Internet Order that hypothetical situations or past/ongoing conduct will not be the subject of advisory opinions. Third, the Commission – and the Bureau acting on delegated authority – reserved the right to change course from previously issued advisory opinions. Finally, the FCC warned in the Order

that it monitors press reports and other public information, which could lead to the initiation of an investigation.

JOINING FORCES

The FCC's focus on privacy protection is also reflected in its joining and publicizing its membership in international privacy groups. In April, the FCC joined the Asia Pacific Privacy Authorities, the principal international forum of privacy enforcement authorities in the Asia Pacific Region. This collaboration follows the FCC announcing its membership in the Global Privacy Enforcement Network, an international group of privacy enforcement regulators comprising approximately 50 data protection authorities. Significantly, in both of these memberships, it is the Enforcement Bureau that represents the FCC.

GOING FORWARD

It is clear that the FCC has staked out a role for itself both domestically and internationally in the protection of sensitive personal information by an expanded group of companies that gather such information. The scope of the requirements – particularly as they relate to the Internet – remain evolutionary and jurisdictional issues will need to be sorted out. But there is no question that the FCC is committed in a significant way to being involved in issues surrounding the security of sensitive information.